

# RESEARCH STATEMENT

SELIN KALAYCIOĞLU

## 1. INTRODUCTION

My primary areas of research are computational group theory and the representation theory of finite groups. Generally speaking, representation theory is the study of possible ways to realize a group as a matrix group. More specifically, a **representation**  $\rho$  of a finite group  $G$  over a field  $F$  is a homomorphism  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  of  $G$  into the group  $GL(V)$  of invertible  $F$ -endomorphisms of a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $F$ . Any representation  $\rho$  of a finite group  $G$  over a field  $F$  extends to a representation of the group algebra  $FG$ ,  $\rho: FG \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  and the vector space  $V$  is called an  $FG$ -module. The indecomposable direct summands of the regular  $FG$ -module are called the **projective indecomposable modules** of  $G$ . Computing the projective indecomposable modules for large finite groups is a challenging problem due to the large size of the representations of these groups.

In my dissertation [4], I have developed a new technique for computing the projective indecomposable modules of large finite groups and implemented it in the computer algebra system GAP. Not only is this method more efficient than previous approaches but it may be successfully applied to larger groups. I have used my technique to find the previously unknown projective indecomposable modules of the largest sporadic Mathieu group  $M_{24}$  and of the alternating group  $A_{12}$  over the field  $F$  with characteristic two. My results allow for further analysis of large finite simple groups and their representations. These results also have direct applications in other areas of Algebra; for example, in [2], the authors describe a scheme for computing the Ext-algebra and cohomology ring for a small simple or nearly simple group which is dependent upon knowing the projective indecomposable modules for this group. Similarly computing the cohomology groups of  $FG$ -modules via projective resolutions depends on the projective indecomposable modules of  $G$ . My method for calculating the projective indecomposable modules presents an opportunity to extend this and other techniques to larger groups. The paper describing my results is in preparation and will be submitted for review soon.

## 2. CONSTRUCTING THE PROJECTIVE INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES

In this section I give a summary of how one can construct the projective indecomposable modules of a group  $G$  and I describe why the known methods were not applicable to groups of very large order. The projective indecomposable modules of  $G$  appear as the direct summands of the regular  $FG$ -module; however, for large groups  $G$ , the regular  $FG$ -module is computationally inaccessible since its dimension is the order of  $G$ . As an example, consider the sporadic group  $M_{24}$  with order 244823040. Storing a matrix for the regular representation of  $M_{24}$  over the field with characteristic two would naively require approximately 56 petabytes (approximately  $10^{15}$  bytes), far beyond the capabilities of contemporary machines. There is a categorical equivalence, called **Morita equivalence**, which solves this problem. For two Morita equivalent algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , there is a correspondence

---

*Date:* 6 October 2008.

between  $A$ -modules and  $B$ -modules. Algebras equivalent to  $FG$  are of particular importance to my research since they provide a means to investigate the regular  $FG$ -module.

We first find an algebra which is Morita equivalent to  $FG$ . Let  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  be representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple  $FG$ -modules, i.e.  $FG$ -modules that have only trivial  $FG$ -submodules. If  $e$  is an idempotent in  $FG$  such that  $S_i e \neq 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $e$  is called a **faithful idempotent**. Faithful idempotents are easy to find in the group algebra  $FG$ . For a certain subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , where the characteristic of  $F$ ,  $p$ , does not divide the order of  $H$ , the idempotent  $e_H := \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h$  is faithful and the group algebra  $FG$  is Morita equivalent to the algebra  $e_H F G e_H$ , called the **condensation subalgebra** of  $FG$  and  $H$  is called the **condensation subgroup** of  $G$ .

The  $e_H F G e_H$ -module  $V e_H$  consists of the fixed points of the action of  $H$  on the regular  $FG$ -module  $V$ , hence the dimension of  $V e_H$  is usually much smaller than the dimension of  $V$ . However, any information that we obtain about  $V e_H$  gives rise to information about  $V$  via Morita equivalence, for example the projective indecomposable summands of the regular  $FG$ -module  $V$  is in one to one correspondence with the projective indecomposable direct summands of the regular  $e_H F G e_H$ -module  $V e_H$ . There is one practical problem: If  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  are generators for the algebra  $FG$  there is no general reason why  $\{e_H a_1 e_H, \dots, e_H a_m e_H\}$  should be algebra generators for  $e_H F G e_H$ . I used a criterion given by Wiegmann, in his PhD thesis [7], that ensures that a set of elements in  $e_H F G e_H$  generates the algebra  $e_H F G e_H$ .

The generators for the condensation algebra allow us to construct the  $e_H F G e_H$ -module  $V e_H$  through a process known as **fixed point condensation**. It is important here to note that the matrices corresponding to the generators of the condensed algebra  $e_H F G e_H$  have at most  $|H|$  many nonzero entries per row. Such matrices are called **row sparse**. In my implementation, I store only the nonzero entries and their positions of the generating matrices, which makes a dramatic reduction in the required memory. Recalling the  $M_{24}$  example, we take  $H$  to be the subgroup of order 27. Then the algebra  $e_H F M_{24} e_H$  has dimension 336224, much smaller than 244823040 and it has 5 generating matrices. However the regular  $e_H F M_{24} e_H$ -module is still out of reach of what would be reasonable to work with on a computer today. On the other hand storing 5 row sparse matrices corresponding to the algebra generators  $e_H g e_H$  with at most 27 nonzero entries per row requires only 215 megabytes.

Having computed the condensed regular  $e_H F G e_H$ -module  $V e_H$  that decomposes into the projective indecomposable modules of  $e_H F G e_H$ , we have reduced the problem of finding the projective indecomposable modules of  $G$  to finding the direct summands of  $e_H F G e_H$ . We continue by a theory called “**peakword condensation**” which gives a method for finding the projective indecomposable summands of the regular  $e_H F G e_H$ -module  $V e_H$ . Let us describe how this theory can be applied to the condensed subalgebra  $e_H F G e_H$ . Let  $S$  be a composition factor of the  $e_H F G e_H$ -module  $V e_H$ . An idempotent  $e \in e_H F G e_H$  is called  **$S$ -primitive** if the module  $e e_H F G e_H$  has a unique maximal submodule such that the corresponding factor module is isomorphic to  $S$ . In general it is a difficult problem to determine such an idempotent, but this may be resolved by considering certain elements of the algebra  $e_H F G e_H$  called **peakwords**.

We next define a peakword and then describe the relation between  $S$ -primitive idempotents and  $S$ -peakwords. For an element  $a \in e_H F G e_H$  we denote the kernel and the image of the action of  $a$  on the  $e_H F G e_H$ -module  $V e_H$  by  $\text{Ker}_{V e_H}(a)$  and  $\text{Im}_{V e_H}(a)$ , respectively. An element  $a \in e_H F G e_H$  is called an  **$S$ -peakword** if

$\text{Ker}_T(a) = 0$  for all composition factors  $T$  of  $Ve_H$  which are not isomorphic to  $S$ , and  $\dim_F(\text{Ker}_S(a^2))$  is equal to the splitting field of  $S$ . Given an  $S$ -peakword  $a$ , the decomposition  $Ve_H = \text{Ker}_{Ve_H}(a^r) \oplus \text{Im}_{Ve_H}(a^r)$  for large  $r \in \mathbf{N}$  gives rise to the condensation of  $Ve_H$  with a uniquely determined  $S$ -primitive idempotent  $e$ , such that  $Ve_H e = \text{Ker}_{Ve_H}(a^r)$ . Moreover in [3] it is proven that a uniformly at random chosen vector from  $Ve_H e$  will generate the projective indecomposable summand with probability  $\frac{1}{|\text{End}_{e_H FG e_H}(S)|}$ , where  $\text{End}_{e_H FG e_H}(S)$  is the splitting field of  $S$ .

There are existing implementations that calculate the  $S$ -peakword and find a basis of  $\text{Ker}_V(a^r)$ , namely a basis of  $Ve$  for a given  $FG$ -module  $V$ . However these implementations have limitations because they are not compatible with sparse matrices and they are limited in the size of representations which they can handle.

The peakwords are just sums of products of generating matrices for  $e_H FG e_H$ . Since I store the generating matrices in row sparse format, creating the peakwords in the regular representation is out of question because there is no guarantee that the product of two row sparse matrices is row sparse. Hence a different method is required for finding a vector in  $\text{Ker}_{Ve_H}(a^r)$  which will generate the projective indecomposable summand of  $e_H FG e_H$  where the sparseness of the generating matrices are never lost.

In my dissertation, I create and implemented algorithms that compute a single vector in  $\text{Ker}_{Ve_H}(a^r)$  instead of a basis of  $\text{Ker}_{Ve_H}(a^r)$ . The **order polynomial** of a vector  $v$  plays an important role for computing such a vector, i.e. a polynomial  $p(x)$  such that for a fixed linear transformation  $T \in \text{End}_F(Ve_H)$ ,  $vp(T) = 0$ . So if  $p(x) = x^r q(x)$  is the order polynomial of a random vector  $v \in Ve_H$  for a fixed  $S$ -peakword  $a \in e_H FG e_H$  then  $vp(a) = vq(a)a^r = 0$ . This implies that  $vq(a)$  is a vector in the  $\text{Ker}_V(a^r)$ . Using my algorithms I calculate the projective indecomposable modules of  $G$  with the following steps:

- (1) Use GAP to generate a random vector  $v$  in  $Ve_H$ .
- (2) Compute the generating matrices for  $e_H FG e_H$  in sparse format.
- (3) Define the  $S$ -peakword  $a$  corresponding to the simple  $e_H FG e_H$ -module  $S$  in terms of the sparse generating matrices.
- (4) Calculate the order polynomial  $p(x)$  of  $v$  for  $a$ .
- (5) Divide  $p(x)$  by  $x^k$  to obtain the quotient  $q(x)$  as described above. .
- (6) Compute  $vq(a)$ .
- (7) Compute the  $e_H FG e_H$ -module generated by  $vq(a)$ .

In the final step described above, I can verify that  $vq(a)$  really generates the projective indecomposable module corresponding to the simple module  $S$ . If  $vq(a)$  does not generate a module of dimension equal to the dimension of the condensed  $e_H FG e_H$ -projective indecomposable module then I go to first step and choose a new random vector.

### 3. FUTURE RESEARCH PLANS

Finding the projective indecomposable modules for large simple groups using my algorithm is an ongoing project. For example, the projective indecomposable modules for the McLaughlin group McL over  $F_3$  and for the Held group He over  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$  and  $F_5$  are still not known and can be found using my algorithms.

As mentioned earlier the computational techniques described above have applications in homological algebra, such as finding Ext-algebras, cohomology ring for large group algebras and computing the cohomology groups of  $FG$ -modules. I would like to find the unknown Ext-algebras and cohomology ring for large group algebras over fields of various characteristics. The technique described in [2] for finding the Ext-algebras of group algebras  $FG$  has three steps: 1. finding the basic algebra

(i.e., the smallest algebra that is Morita equivalent to  $FG$ ), 2. computing the projective resolutions for simple  $FG$ -modules, and 3. computing the cup products. Steps 1 and 2 are dependent upon knowing the projective indecomposable modules for  $G$ . By using my algorithm, I will be able to compute basic algebras for larger groups. I am also interested in implementing algorithms to compute the first and second cohomology groups of  $FG$ -modules for large finite simple groups  $G$ .

In my technique for finding the projective indecomposable modules of a large group  $G$ , I was restricted to use projective  $FG$ -modules. In [6], Robinson describes a different approach for finding the projective indecomposable modules of  $G$  by using induced modules, where these induced modules are not necessarily projective. This approach has not been used for computational purposes; I would like to implement it as a potentially more-efficient algorithm.

Condensation is an important tool in modular representation theory. For example, it is used to find submodule lattices [3] and to determine the socle and radical series of  $FG$ -modules [5]. I am interested in a problem considered by D. Benson in [1]. He looked at indecomposable direct summands of permutation modules and defined “characters” for them. These direct summands are known as trivial source modules. Tables of these “characters” have been calculated for small groups. Investigating methods for systematically calculating these tables using basic algebras for larger groups is another project that I will work on.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] David J. Benson. *Modular representation theory*, volume 1081 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. New trends and methods, Second printing of the 1984 original.
- [2] Jon F. Carlson, Edward L. Green, and Gerhard J. A. Schnieder. Computing Ext algebras for finite groups. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):317–325, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [3] J. Müller K. Lux and M. Ringe. Peakword condensation and submodule lattices: an application of the meat-axe.
- [4] S. Kalaycioglu. *Constructing the projective indecomposable modules of large groups*. PhD thesis, University of Arizona, 2008.
- [5] K. Lux and M. Wiegmann. Determination of socle series using the condensation method. *J. Symbolic Comput.* 31, pages 163–178., 2001. Computational algebra and number theory, Milwaukee, WI, 1996.
- [6] Geoffrey R. Robinson. On projective summands of induced modules. *J. Algebra*, 122(1):106–111, 1989.
- [7] M. Wiegmann. *Fixpunktkondensation von Tensorproduktmoduln*. PhD thesis, Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, 1994.